1					
2					
3			The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman		
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
10	BILLY PET	ERSON,	No. 2:14-cv-01391-MJP		
11		Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT ORION INDUSTRIES' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S		
12	v.		COMPLAINT		
13	ORION INDUSTRIES, a Washington Nonprofit, JURY DEMAND				
14	Nonpront,	Defendant.			
15		Detendant.			
16	COMES NOW the Defendant Orion Industries, by and through its attorneys, Northcraft				
17	Bigby & Biggs, P.C., and in answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint, admits, denies, and alleges as				
18	follows:				
19	JURISDICTION AND VENUE				
20	1.	In answer to paragraph 1 of Plain	tiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the same.		
21	2.	In answer to paragraph 2 of Plain	tiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the same.		
22	PARTIES				
23	3.	In answer to paragraph 3 of Plain	tiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the same.		
24	4.	In answer to paragraph 4 of Plain	tiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the same.		
25	///				
26	///				

1 **FACTS**

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2 5. In answer to paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the first sentence. 3 In answer to the second and third sentences Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to 4 form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the second and third sentences of the 5 paragraph and therefore denies the same. In answer to the fourth sentence Defendant denies that a 6 leg infection was ever presented by Plaintiff as a medical condition in July, August, and September 7 2012. In further answer to the fourth sentence, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient 8 to form a belief about Plaintiff's doctors having difficulty with Plaintiff's diagnosis and therefore 9 denies the same.

- 6. In answer to paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits that Dr. Shelton appears to have certified that Plaintiff had gastroenteritis and that he needed to be off work from August 13, 2012 to August 21, 2012, and that he was eventually released back to work on September 10, 2012. Defendant further admits that Plaintiff received an FMLA packet to fill out. The balance of the paragraph is denied.
- 7. In answer to paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the first and third sentences. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the second sentence.
- 8. In answer to paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits that Plaintiff was off work from August 13, 2012 until he returned on August 24, 2012. Plaintiff worked August 24, 27 and 28, 2012, and then did not return to work. The balance of the paragraph is denied.
- 9. In answer to paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first sentence. The balance of the paragraph is denied.
- 10. In answer to paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and therefore denies the same.
 - 11. In answer to paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the same.

1		CLAIMS	
2	1.	In answer to paragraph 1 of Claims, Defendant denies the same.	
3		PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
4	1.	The Defendant denies that the Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested set forth in	
5	his prayer fo	or relief.	
6		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	
7	BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, Orion Industrie		
8	alleges as follows:		
9	1.	Some or all of the Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may	
10	be granted.		
11	2.	Some or all of the Plaintiff's injuries and damages as alleged thereby are not	
12	actionable because Plaintiff did knowingly, voluntarily and willingly assume the risk thereof.		
13	3.	Plaintiff has failed to mitigate his damages.	
14	4.	The Plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any, were caused by the acts of one or more	
15	persons over whom Defendant had no control, who were not the agents of the Defendant, and with		
16	whom Defendant was not acting in concert.		
17	5.	The Defendant had legitimate non-retaliatory reasons for all employment actions	
18	taken up to and including termination.		
19	6.	Statutory protection does not apply to Plaintiff due to his failure to comply with	
20	procedural requirements.		
21	7.	Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's damages, if any, were proximately caused in whole	
22	or in part by the acts and omissions of other parties, including the Plaintiff.		
23	8.	Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's recovery is barred or reduced by Plaintiff's	
24	comparative	e negligence.	
25	///		
26	///		

1	9.	A nexus between the discharge and any alleged FMLA violations, if any, does not	
2	exist.		
3	10.	Plaintiff was an at-will employee and as such could be terminated.	
4	11.	Defendant did not have actual or constructive knowledge of the alleged discriminatory	
5	or harassing conduct.		
6	12.	Plaintiff is barred from recovery by the doctrine of laches and/or unclean hands.	
7	13.	Defendant asserts any and all applicable defenses available to it under the applicable	
8	statutory law	•	
9	The 1	Defendant reserves the right to amend its Answer to assert additional affirmative	
10	defenses including, but not limited to, affirmative defenses which arise based upon the discovery of		
11	evidence in this case and/or based upon the testimony and evidence presented in the trial of this		
12	matter.		
13	WHEREFORE, having fully answered the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint,		
14	Defendant prays that said Complaint be dismissed with prejudice, that the costs of this proceeding		
15	be taxed against the Plaintiff, that Defendant be afforded the relief requested, and that Defendant		
16	have such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.		
17	DATED this 9 th day of October, 2014.		
18		/s/ Aaron D. Bigby	
19		Aaron D. Bigby, WSBA #29271 Northeraft, Bigby & Biggs, P.C.	
20		819 Virginia Street, Suite C-2 Seattle, WA 98101	
21		Telephone: (206) 623-0229 Facsimile: (206) 623-0234	
22		E-mail: <u>aaron bigby@northcraft.com</u> Attorney for Defendant	
23		Attorney for Defendant	
24			
25			

26

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
2	I hereby certify that on October 9, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk			
3	of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:			
4	Donna L. Mack			
5	mack@skf-law.com winningham@skf-law.com			
6	SIGNED in Seattle, Washington on October 9, 2014.			
7	/_/ M:-111. A T1-			
8	/s/ Michelle A. Tomczak Michelle A. Tomczak			
9	Legal Assistant Northcraft, Bigby & Biggs, P.C.			
10	819 Virginia Street, Suite C-2 Seattle, WA 98101			
11	Telephone: (206) 623-0229 Facsimile: (206) 623-0234			
12	E-mail: michelle tomczak@northcraft.com			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				